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Abstract

Acute aortic syndrome (AAS) comprises a range of interrelated conditions caused by disruption of the medial layer of the aortic wall, including aortic dissection, intramural haematoma and penetrating aortic ulcer. Since mortality from AAS is high, a prompt and accurate diagnosis using imaging techniques is paramount. Both transthoracic (TTE) and transoesophageal echocardiography (TEE) are useful in the diagnosis of AAS. TTE should be the first imaging technique to evaluate patients with thoracic pain in the emergency room. Should AAS be suspected, contrast administration is recommended when images are not definitive. TEE allows high-quality images in thoracic aorta. The main drawback of this technique is that it is semi-invasive and the presence of a blind area that limits visualisation of the distal ascending aorta near. TEE identifies the location and size of the entry tear, secondary communications, true lumen compression and the dynamic flow pattern of false lumen. Although computed tomography (CT) is the most used imaging technique in the diagnosis of AAS, echocardiography offers complementary information relevant for its management. The best imaging strategy for appropriately diagnosing and assessing AAS is to combine CT, mainly ECG-gated contrast-enhanced CT, and TTE. Currently, TEE tends to be carried out in the operating theatre immediately before surgical or endovascular therapy and in monitoring their results. The aims of this review are to establish the current role of echocardiography in the diagnosis and management of AAS based on its advantages and limitations.

Introduction

Acute aortic syndrome (AAS), an acute process affecting the aortic wall with disruption of the media layer, carries high rates of morbidity and mortality. Essentially, it involves three entities: aortic dissection, intramural haematoma and penetrating ulcer. The incidence of AAS is approximately 50–150 cases per million population per year, 80% of which are dissections, 15% intramural haematomas (IMH) and 5% penetrating ulcers (PAU). The diagnosis of classic aortic dissection is based on the demonstration of an intimal flap that divides the aorta in two, the true and false lumina. IMH is characterised by circular or crescentic thickening of the aortic wall >5mm and PAU presents as an image of crater-like outpouching with jagged edges in the...
aortic wall, generally associated with extensive aortic atheroma. There are two classifications according to the extent of aortic involvement (5): the DeBakey and Stanford classification.

**Diagnosis**

AAS has a high mortality rate and early medical and surgical treatment is crucial. Therefore, rapid and accurate diagnostic techniques, which can be applied in critically ill patients, are essential (6). The diagnosis of aortic dissection can be made with similar accuracy using different imaging techniques such as TEE, CT or MRI (7, 8). CT is the most used imaging technique in the diagnosis of aortic dissection (77%) (9), given that it is available 24 h a day even in remote community hospitals and allows whole aorta visualisation and most of the AAS complications. However, the use of transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) and transoesophageal echocardiography (TEE) are often required to obtain crucial information.

**Role of TTE and TEE in the diagnosis of aortic dissection**

Echocardiography has become the most used imaging test in the clinical evaluation of cardiovascular disease and plays an important role in the diagnosis of aortic diseases. Among imaging techniques, echocardiography has the advantage that it can be performed at the patient's bedside or in any hospital department (emergency, intensive unit, operating room), without the need to transfer the patient who is often in an unstable haemodynamic situation. While TTE should be used routinely in the emergency and critical units, TEE requires a greater knowledge and experience, especially when the patient is not anaesthetised.

**Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE)**

TTE permits adequate assessment of several aortic segments, particularly the aortic root and proximal ascending aorta (9) and, in most cases, the aortic arch, proximal descending aorta and abdominal aorta. All scanning planes should be used including the left and right parasternal long-axis views, the suprasternal, two-chamber and subcostal views. In some series, sensitivity in the diagnosis of ascending aorta dissection was 78–90% but only 31–55% in descending aortic dissection (10). Specificity for type A aortic dissection was reported to range from 87 to 96% and for type B dissection 60–83% (11). TTE is most useful when the flap is located in the aortic root (Fig. 1 and Video 1).

**Video 1**


Contrast TTE increases sensitivity and specificity for type A aortic dissection to 93 and 97% respectively, which is similar to TEE in the diagnosis of type A aortic dissection, although it is limited in type B involvement (sensitivity 84% and specificity 94%), mainly in the presence of non-extended dissection (12) (Fig. 2 and Videos 2, 3). Given its availability and additional information on cardiac condition, contrast TTE may be used as the initial imaging modality in the emergency setting when TTE information is not definitive. However, a negative TTE does not rule out aortic dissection, and other imaging techniques must be considered. Furthermore, the contrast provides little for the diagnosis of intramural aortic haematoma; therefore, if the suspicion is high, the practice of CT should be indicated. As specified in a previous publication (12), the mechanical index should to set between 0.6 and 0.9 to optimise contrast enhancement visualisation in the aorta. Several boluses should be injected to obtain complete information on the ascending aorta, arch, proximal descending aorta and abdominal aorta, using similar windows to conventional echocardiographic studies.
Video 2

Video 3
Type B dissection by contrast TTE, two lumina are well visualised, true and false lumen. View Video 3 at http://movie-usa.glencoesoftware.com/video/10.1530/ERP-18-0058/video-3.

Transoesophageal echocardiography (TEE)
TEE is currently considered one of the reference techniques in aortic dissection diagnosis (7, 8, 13). The proximity of the oesophagus to the aorta, without interference from the chest wall or lung, permits high-quality images to be obtained. TEE permits identification of the intimal flap in most type A dissections (Fig. 3 and Video 4) and type B (Fig. 4 and Video 5) (14). Several studies have demonstrated the accuracy of TEE in the diagnosis of aortic dissection with sensitivity of 86–100%, specificity 90–100% and a negative predictive value of 86–100% (15, 16).

However, TEE is semi-invasive and requires sedation and may cause a rise in systemic pressure from retching and gagging. Although isolated cases of aortic rupture during the procedure have been reported, the incidence in prospective series is very low, being more related to the intrinsic risk of the disease than the procedure itself, as these events also occur during CT or MRI. However, adequate sedation with strict blood pressure control is mandatory. One of the main limitations of TEE in the diagnosis of aortic dissection is the presence of ultrasound artefacts in ascending aorta which are common (17), particularly when dilated. Artefacts in the aortic root are a reverberation from the anterior wall of the left atrium, are typically located twice as far from the transducer as the posterior aortic wall, and their movement is parallel to the posterior aortic wall but with double displacement amplitude. In the middle third of the ascending aorta, they are due to reverberations from the posterior wall of the right pulmonary artery and are located at twice the distance from the right pulmonary artery posterior wall as from the posterior aortic wall. The assessment of location and movement of these intraluminal images by M-mode echocardiography is the best way to differentiate between intimal flap and imaging reverberations (Fig. 5).

Video 4
Type A dissection diagnosed by TEE in ascending aorta. Intimal flap is well defined in longitudinal (left) and transverse planes (right). View Video 4 at http://movie-usa.glencoesoftware.com/video/10.1530/ERP-18-0058/video-4.

Video 5
Type B dissection diagnosed by colour Doppler TEE showing a turbulent flow through a secondary tear from the true lumen to false lumen. View Video 5 at http://movie-usa.glencoesoftware.com/video/10.1530/ERP-18-0058/video-5.
TEE is clearly superior to TTE in the diagnosis of IMH and PAU. IMH may sometimes be mistaken for an intraluminal thrombus or a dissection with thrombosed false lumen. Displacement of intimal calcification caused by accumulation of blood within the aortic media is useful for the differential diagnosis; the inner margin of intramural haematoma is smooth and aortic thickening occurs beneath the bright echo-dense intima. IMH is quite easily differentiated from classical aortic dissection with flow in two lumina. However, the diagnosis can be difficult when the false lumen of dissection is totally thrombosed (18).

Diagnosis of PAU by echocardiography is based on the image of crater-like outpouching of the aortic wall, in many cases associated with an atheroma plaque (19). By TEE, it is crucial to displace the transducer very slowly and visualise the circumference (360°) of the entire thoracic aorta to avoid a false-negative diagnosis. Diagnosis of PAU is easier by CT than by TEE. However, TEE allows better aetiological classification of the PAU, considering ulcerated plaques, chronic intimal disruption from IMH or penetrating atherosclerotic ulcer, all of which have a different prognosis (Fig. 6A and B).

**Anatomical and functional findings of aortic dissection**

In addition to an adequate diagnosis, TEE permits assessment of the main anatomical and functional aspects of interest for the management of aortic dissection.

**Intimal tear**

The intimal tear appears as a discontinuity of the intimal flap. TEE permits identification of the tear in 78–100% of cases (20). The location and size of the primary entry tear directly influences therapeutic options and has prognostic implications. The main intimal tear usually has a diameter >5 mm; in fact, >7–10 mm are easily visualised by 2D echocardiography and are frequently located in the proximal part of the ascending aorta in type A dissections and immediately below the origin of the left subclavian artery in type B dissections. In 10% of cases, the main intimal tear are located in blind TEE areas, the abdominal aorta or distal ascending aorta, and in these cases, contrast may suggest where the entry tear is located. 3D echocardiography is very useful to quantify the intimal tear area (Fig. 7) (21).

**Secondary communications**

Using colour Doppler, multiple small communications (<3 mm) between the two lumina can be visualised, especially in the descending aorta; most of these
communications might correspond to the origin of intercostal or visceral arteries (Fig. 4 and Video 5). These secondary communications have no prognostic implications; although in absence of distal discharge of the false lumen, they may partially decompress the true lumen.

**True lumen identification**

Identification of the true lumen is of special clinical interest, since it allows understanding of dynamic aspects for the management of aortic dissection. If the aortic arch is involved, the surgeon needs to know whether the supra-aortic vessels originate from the false or true lumen. Similarly, when the descending aorta dissection affects visceral arteries and ischaemic complications arise, it is necessary to identify the false lumen prior to surgery or endovascular treatment such as intima fenestration or stent-graft implantation. The signs that permit the identification of true lumen are specified in Table 1 (Fig. 8) (9).

**Diagnosis of AAS complications**

Appropriate AAS complications diagnosis during the initial study may affect therapeutic decisions in the acute phase. TTE may be used as the initial modality in the emergency setting. Intimal flap in the proximal ascending aorta, pericardial effusion/tamponade, significant aortic regurgitation and left ventricular function can be easily assessed by TTE.

**Pericardial effusion**

This frequent complication in type A AAS occurs in 40% of cases and tamponade in 12% (22). The presence of pericardial effusion may suggest rupture of the false lumen in the pericardium, although it may be secondary to irritation of the adventitia produced by aortic haematoma or small effusion from the wall. In any event, the presence of pericardial effusion in an AAS is an indicator of poor prognosis. Echocardiography is the best diagnostic technique for assessing the presence of cardiac tamponade (Fig. 9 and Video 6).

**Video 6**


**Periaortic haematoma**

The incidence of periaortic haematoma is similar in acute type A and type B aortic syndrome (26.6 vs 27.4%, P=0.92) (22) and has been related to an increase in mortality. CT is the technique of choice for the diagnosis of periaortic haematoma and pleural effusion. TEE is limited in the diagnosis of this complication as it is only made with an increase in distance greater than 10 mm between the oesophagus and aorta (23).
Aortic regurgitation

Aortic regurgitation is a frequent complication, occurring in 40–76% of cases of type A aortic dissection. The diagnosis and quantification can be made by TTE and TEE. However, TEE provides better information regarding possible mechanisms that influence aortic regurgitation (Fig. 10, Table 2 and Video 7), which may greatly help the surgeon in deciding whether to replace or repair the aortic valve (24).

Video 7

Arterial vessel involvement

The diagnosis of main arterial vessel involvement is important since visceral or peripheral malperfusion syndrome is associated with higher morbidity and mortality. The incidence of peripheral (10.1 vs 6.2%) or mesenteric ischaemia (6.4 vs 6.2%) is similar in type A and type B aortic syndrome (22). TEE permits the diagnosis of coeliac trunk involvement by dissection or compression. Similarly, accuracy in the diagnosis of supra-aortic trunks involvement by TEE is better under anaesthesia (25) (Table 3). Nevertheless, CT provides by far more precise and detailed anatomical information and is irreplaceable for diagnosing renal and iliac artery disease.

Table 1  Differentiation between true and false lumina.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>True lumen</th>
<th>False lumen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>True &lt; false</td>
<td>Most often: false &gt; true lumen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulsation</td>
<td>Systolic expansion</td>
<td>Systolic compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow direction</td>
<td>Systolic antegrade flow</td>
<td>Systolic antegrade flow reduced or absent, or retrograde flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication flow</td>
<td>From true to false lumen in systole</td>
<td>Early and fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast echo flow</td>
<td></td>
<td>Delayed and slow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TEE, like CT, can diagnose two types of circulation disorders of arterial branches with important therapeutic implications: dissection or dynamic obstruction of the arterial trunk ostia. Dynamic compression of the true lumen by the false lumen requires emergent treatment closing the entry tear (Fig. 11 and Video 8).

Video 8

Involvement of the coronary arteries in dissection has been considered to be 10–15%, with the right coronary artery being most frequently affected (6). CT and TEE show the most proximal segment of the coronary arteries; thus, it can be verified whether the coronary ostium originates in the false lumen or whether coronary dissection is present.

Diagnostic strategy

TTE, a rapid procedure which can be performed at the patient’s bedside, should be carried out in all patients admitted to the emergency room with thoracic pain since early diagnosis improves management and prognosis. Sensitivity and specificity of TTE in the diagnosis of AAS are acceptable with visualisation of the intimal flap in several segments of the aorta, mainly the aortic root.
and abdominal aorta. In addition, contrast enhancement significantly improves TTE accuracy in the visualisation of the two lumina. Therefore, contrast enhancement is often useful in doubtful cases with no definitive intimal flap visualisation. It is important to note that when contrast TTE fails to show abnormalities, another imaging technique must be applied for AAS to be definitively ruled out, given that TTE is unable to visualise the entire aorta, IMH and PAU.

In unstable patients, if the diagnosis of type A dissection by TTE or contrast TTE is definitive, surgical treatment could be directly indicated, provided intraoperative TEE under anaesthesia is performed just prior to surgery to confirm the diagnosis. In the rest of cases, including when type B dissection is suspected, CT or TEE should be performed according to patients stability, complications and examiner experience. CT allows whole aorta visualisation, arterial branch involvement and periaortic haemorrhage, and TEE allows better information on entry tear location and size, false lumen flow and aortic regurgitation severity and mechanisms (Fig. 12) (6, 9).

### Role of echocardiography in therapeutic management

Preoperative echocardiography provides the surgeon with important information for choosing the operative technique. In addition, perioperative echocardiographic imaging enables intraoperative monitoring and the detection of possible postoperative complications.

### Type A AAS

Acute type A aortic dissection is a cardiovascular emergency with a high potential for death. Rapid surgical treatment is indicated to prevent fatal complications. Surgical treatment aims to exclude the primary tear by replacing the ascending aorta and aortic arch if necessary. Surgical mortality is high (15–35%), even in centres of excellence. In cases with significant aortic insufficiency, valvular repair or replacement should be considered.

TEE is fundamental for deciding on the surgical strategy in cases of aortic regurgitation (9). Mechanisms of aortic regurgitation related to aortic dissection are shown in Table 2 (Fig. 10). Ideally, aortic root repair with valve preservation should be considered using reimplantation techniques (David) or remodelling (Yacoub) (26). However, if the anatomical lesions of the root are significant, to avoid re-interventions it is better to perform complete replacement with the Bentall technique.

### Table 2  Mechanisms of significant aortic regurgitation in aortic dissection.

- Dilation of the aortic annulus secondary to dilation of the ascending aorta.
- Rupture of the annular support and tear in the implantation of one of the valvular leaflets.
- Asymmetrical dissections, the haematoma itself may displace a sigmoid below coaptation level.
- Prolapse of the intima in the outward tract of the left ventricle through the valvular orifice.
- Previous aortic valve disease.
that involves valved composite graft and reimplantation of the coronary arteries.

If the diameters of the proximal ascending aorta and aortic root are normal, without structural alterations of the aortic valve leaflets, a tubular graft is often anastomosed on the crest of the sinotubular junction. Replacement of the segment where the primary tear is located is essential to avoid long-term complications due to progressive dilation of the false lumen (27). If the descending aorta has to be treated, TEE is very useful for monitoring endovascular stent-graft implantation.

Type B AAS

CT and TEE provide complementary information in the preoperative assessment of endovascular treatment. CT is more accurate in the measurement of aortic diameters and the distance between the ostium and arterial trunks.

**Table 3** Usefulness of imaging techniques in the diagnosis of AAS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic performance</th>
<th>TTE</th>
<th>TEE</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>MRI</th>
<th>Angio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity in AD diagnosis</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity in AD diagnosis</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to detect IMH</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to detect PAU</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAA extension</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site of intimal tear</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence and mechanisms of aortic regurgitation</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery involvement</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular function</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericardial tamponade</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch vessel involvement malperfusion</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TEE is useful in the operating theatre both before and after stent-graft deployment (28, 29). Intraoperative TEE is highly useful during endovascular therapy of the descending thoracic aorta, especially in type B aortic dissection, since it provides additional information to angiography/fluoroscopy for guiding correct stent-graft placement (30). Prior to stent-graft deployment, TEE is crucial for guidewire repositioning from the false to the true lumen and for correct guidewire entrance into elephant trunk prostheses in patients with previous aortic arch surgery (9). After stent-graft deployment, colour Doppler or contrast TEE may detect peri-stent leaks (Fig. 13 and Video 9), which can be promptly resolved by balloon dilation or further stent-graft implantations. Most of these leaks are not usually visible on angiography.

**Video 9**

Control after thoracic endovascular treatment by contrast TEE showing a lack of correct apposition of the distal part of the stent with the presence of a thrombus and a type I endoleak. View Video 9 at http://movie-usa.glencoesoftware.com/video/10.1530/ERP-18-0058/video-9.

**Prognosis and follow-up**

The short- and long-term prognosis of patients with successful initial treatment of acute aortic dissection are heterogeneous, with a reported event-free survival rate of 70% at 5 years and 50% at 10 years, respectively. TEE performed before discharge identifies several predictors of complications such as significant dilation of the arch or descending thoracic aorta (>45mm), large entry tear (10mm), compression of the true lumen and partial false
Figure 12
Diagnostic algorithm of acute aortic syndrome. A definitive diagnosis of type A dissection by TTE permits a haemodynamically unstable patient to be sent directly to the operating theatre, providing TEE confirm findings before surgery under general anaesthesia. If TTE is doubtful or negative a CT should be indicated. CT vs TEE depending on local availability, patient haemodynamic or symptomatic status and physician experience. TEE is preferred when transferring the patient to the radiology department could compromise adequate control of haemodynamic instability. CT is better than TEE when visceral/peripheral ischaemia is suspected. TEE is indicated at 1–3 days to complete false lumen dynamic information.
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Figure 13
Control after thoracic endovascular treatment by contrast TEE showing a lack of correct apposition of the distal part of the stent with the presence of a thrombus (*) and a type I endoleak (large arrow). A small arrow marks the distal part of the stent.

lumen thrombosis (31). This last predictor is particularly significant in the presence of a large entry tear and slow flow in false lumen due to the absence of distal discharge. However, TEE has a less important role in the follow-up of patients with aortic dissection since CT or MRI can visualise the whole aorta and measure the maximum aorta diameter with better accuracy than TEE.

IMH can heal or evolve to aneurysm formation or even dissection. In addition to maximum aortic diameter, some TEE information such as echolucent areas has been related to dissection and enlargement evolution. IMH regressed completely in 34% of patients, progressed to aortic dissection in 12%, aneurysm in 20% and pseudoaneurysm in 24% (32). Owing to their wide field of vision permitting identification of landmarks, MRI and CT are superior to TEE for defining this dynamic evolution.

Conclusions

Advances in TTE imaging quality and the use of contrast enhancement improve sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of aortic dissection. However, the low negative predictive value of TTE does not permit dissection to be ruled out, and further tests will be required if the exam is negative. The best imaging strategy for appropriately diagnosing and assessing AAS is the combination of CT and TEE. Currently, TEE tends to be carried out in the operating theatre immediately before surgical or endovascular therapy and in monitoring their results. TEE should define entry tear location, mechanisms and severity of aortic regurgitation and true lumen compression. In some specific complications, such as periaortic haematoma or involvement of the abdominal arterial trunks, additional information by CT is advisable.

TEE has predictor value by the identification of large entry tear, true lumen compression and partial thrombosis of the false lumen with slow flow and large entry tear. TEE is highly useful during aortic surgery and endovascular therapy.
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