CASE REPORT

A shot to the heart: a rare case of cardiac embolization
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Summary

This is a case report of intracardiac foreign bodies that gained access to the heart by migration from a peripheral vein. The case report describes the diagnostic findings on cardiac imaging and summarizes different approaches to management.

Learning points:

- Appearance of embolized cardiac missiles with reverberation and acoustic shadowing.
- Role of different imaging modalities in the diagnosis of intracardiac foreign bodies.
- Indications for surgical or transcatheter retrieval.

Background

Intracardiac foreign bodies can be encountered through different mechanisms; for example, embolized material from a peripheral vein as in fractured catheter or foreign bodies acquired by direct penetrating injuries to the heart. This case highlights the use of complementary imaging modalities.

Case report

A 28-year-old male was admitted with shotgun injury following attempted robbery. On examination, he was cardiovascularly stable, with shot entry wounds to the neck, left arm and left armpit, causing damage to the left axillary vein. The precordium was externally intact.

Investigations

Chest radiography revealed multiple pellets in his chest (Fig. 1). CT scanning demonstrated two intracardiac pellets within the right ventricle (Fig. 2).
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Figure 1

Chest radiograph demonstrating multiple shotgun pellets, predominantly in left hemithorax and axilla.
Transthoracic echocardiography performed shortly after CT showed an echogenic pellet within the right ventricle below the tricuspid annular plane (Video 1). The other pellet apparent on CT had migrated to the pulmonary artery bifurcation (Fig. 3, Videos 2 and 3).

**Video 1**

**Video 2**

**Video 3**

Serial CT chest and echocardiography were performed at 24 and 48h. There was no evidence of thrombosis, infection, perforation or further movement of the emboli.

With no entry wounds on the precordium, it was clear that the shot had embolized from a peripheral vein entry point, likely the left axillary vein.

Serial CT chest and echocardiography were performed at 24 and 48h. There was no evidence of thrombosis, infection, perforation or further movement of the emboli.

With no entry wounds on the precordium, it was clear that the shot had embolized from a peripheral vein entry point, likely the left axillary vein.

**Treatment and outcome**
Following multidisciplinary discussion, including cardiologists, surgeons and radiologists, the patient was managed conservatively, following interval imaging and antibiotic cover. The intravascular pellets were felt to be too small to significantly compromise patient haemodynamics (even if migrating to a more distal point within the pulmonary tree), and the risk of local or systemic complications was deemed to be low. Recovery was uneventful.

**Discussion**
Missiles such as shotgun pellets can gain access to the heart via direct penetrating injuries or vascular migration (1, 2).

Patients with direct cardiac trauma often present in extremis. Other reported complications include pericarditis, pulmonary or systemic embolization, arrhythmia, local infection, in situ clot formation or rarely local erosion into neighbouring bronchi or intracardiac shunts (3, 4).

Although plain radiography and tomographic imaging are useful, the most accurate modality to localise intracardiac missiles, and plan further management, is echocardiography (5). The hyperechoic appearance of the pellets with acoustic shadowing is characteristic of missile embolism.
Management options should be individualized depending on the size, intracardiac location and risk of infection or systemic embolization and may include surgical extraction or transcatheter retrieval (6, 7). In this case, conservative management was successfully employed, as the risk of infection, clinical sequelae or systemic embolization was deemed to be low.

A useful approach to guide the choice of management is as follows (8):

1. Symptomatic foreign bodies with infection, arrhythmia or neurological sequelae should be removed irrespective of their location.
2. Missiles with on-going adverse haemodynamic effects, e.g. intracardiac shunting or significant valvular complications should be removed.
3. Asymptomatic foreign bodies diagnosed immediately after the injury with an associated risk of infection, systemic embolization or erosion should be removed.
4. Asymptomatic foreign bodies without associated risks or diagnosed late after the injury may be treated conservatively, particularly if they are completely embedded in the myocardium or in the pericardium and pericardial space.
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