Impact of age on pulmonary artery systolic pressures at rest and with exercise
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Abstract

Aim: It is not well known if advancing age influences normal rest or exercise pulmonary artery pressures. The purpose of the study was to evaluate the association of increasing age with measurements of pulmonary artery systolic pressure at rest and with exercise.

Subjects and methods: A total of 467 adults without cardiopulmonary disease and normal exercise capacity (age range: 18–85 years) underwent symptom-limited treadmill exercise testing with Doppler measurement of rest and exercise pulmonary artery systolic pressure.

Results: There was a progressive increase in rest and exercise pulmonary artery pressures with increasing age. Pulmonary artery systolic pressures at rest and with exercise were 25 ± 5 mmHg and 33 ± 9 mmHg, respectively, in those <40 years, and 30 ± 5 mmHg and 41 ± 12 mmHg, respectively, in those ≥70 years. While elevated left-sided cardiac filling pressures were excluded by protocol design, markers of arterial stiffness associated with the age-dependent effects on pulmonary pressures.

Conclusion: These data demonstrate that in echocardiographically normal adults, pulmonary artery systolic pressure increases with advancing age. This increase is seen at rest and with exercise. These increases in pulmonary pressure occur in association with decreasing transpulmonary flow and increases in systemic pulse pressure, suggesting that age-associated blood vessel stiffening may contribute to these differences in pulmonary artery systolic pressure.
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Introduction

Pulmonary artery (PA) systolic pressure may increase with exercise in the setting of hypoxia, chronic lung disease, heart failure, and in some patients with connective tissue disease or other conditions that are associated with pulmonary vascular disease (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7). The clinical importance of pulmonary hypertension that develops with exercise, however, remains enigmatic in part because a clear delineation between normal and abnormal responses to exertion has not been established for individuals (7, 8). Prior studies have indicated that PA pressures at rest and with exercise are higher in highly trained athletes compared with healthy controls (in large part related to greater cardiac output-mediated transpulmonary flow) (9). Further data suggest that PA pressures are higher in subjects over the age of 50 years compared with younger individuals (10, 11, 12). However, these
studies did not address or exclude the possible role of left ventricular (LV) filling pressures and the potential impact of diastolic dysfunction on pulmonary pressures. Due to the lack of data in this regard, at the Fourth World Symposium on pulmonary arterial hypertension (Dana Point, February 2008), a consensus opinion determined that the definition of exercise-induced pulmonary hypertension should be deferred (7). The normal range of PA systolic pressure changes with exercise and any association with age (particularly in patients over the age of 50) remains ill-defined.

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the association of increasing age with measurements of right ventricular and PA hemodynamics at rest and with exercise in a cohort of adult subjects without cardiopulmonary disease and with at least satisfactory exercise capacity.

Methods

Study setting and population

This retrospective study was performed in the Mayo Clinic Cardiovascular Ultrasound Imaging and Hemodynamics Laboratory in Rochester, MN, with the approval of the Institutional Review Board. Study subjects (age range: 18–85 years) were selected from those patients who underwent clinically indicated exercise echocardiography over a 1-year period (n = 4540). During this period, all patients also underwent routine Doppler assessment of LV diastolic function and pulmonary pressures at rest and with exercise as part of a prospective protocol (13). Clinical variables, brachial artery blood pressure, and body mass index (weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) were recorded at the time of the symptom-limited treadmill exercise stress echocardiogram according to the Bruce protocol. Pulse pressure (the difference between brachial systolic and diastolic blood pressures) was used as an index of systemic arterial stiffness (14). Systemic arterial stiffening results in the earlier return of reflected waves and thus increased systolic, decreased diastolic blood pressures, and increased pulse pressure (15). Medication use and pertinent medical history were abstracted from the medical record at the time of the echocardiogram and entered into a prospectively maintained database by specially trained nurses (16).

Exclusion criteria

In addition to requiring measurable Doppler signals for the assessment of PA systolic pressure and LV diastolic function at rest and post exercise, to be included subjects were required to have no evidence of (i) significant valvular heart disease (defined by more than mild stenosis and/or regurgitation of any cardiac valve or previous valve repair or replacement); (ii) coronary artery disease (history of myocardial infarction, coronary revascularization, or rest or stress wall motion abnormalities on echocardiography); (iii) LV systolic dysfunction (rest or peak exercise LV ejection fraction <50%); (iv) an elevation in LV filling pressures (E/e’ ratio at rest >15 or E/e’ immediately after exercise >13 or left atrial volume index ≥32 cc/m²) (22, 23, 24); (v) atrial fibrillation/flutter; (vi) pulmonary airway or parenchymal disease (by history or available pulmonary function testing); (vii) obstructive sleep apnea (by history, overnight oximetry, and/or polysomnography); (viii) history of venous thrombo-embolic disease; (ix) history of connective tissue disease; (x) family or personal history of pulmonary arterial hypertension; (xi) congenital heart disease; (xii) human immunodeficiency viral (HIV) infection; (xiii) chronic liver disease (by history or evidence of synthetic dysfunction on serum testing); or (xiv) failure to achieve a satisfactory exercise capacity (i.e. with failure defined as <80% predicted functional aerobic capacity). A total of 469 subjects who met these criteria were included in the study group.

Doppler echocardiography

All echocardiograms were performed by registered diagnostic cardiac sonographers using standardized instruments and protocols and interpreted by an American Society of Echocardiography level 3 trained stress echocardiologist. In addition to standard two-dimensional, and color Doppler images, continuous-wave Doppler examination of tricuspid flow, pulsed-wave Doppler examination of mitral inflow, and tissue Doppler imaging of the medial mitral annulus were performed in each subject at rest and immediately following the regional wall motion assessment following a symptom-limited, Bruce protocol treadmill exercise test. The average time to record the peak TR velocity was 125 s, mitral annulus tissue Doppler 166 s, and the mitral inflow 180 s following the cessation of exercise.

Determination of ventricular function

Left ventricular ejection fraction was assessed by a combination of the modified Quinones method (17) and
visual assessment. Stroke volume at rest and with exercise was calculated from the LV inflow as the product of mitral valve area (derived from the diameter at the level of the mitral valve tips from the apical four chamber view) and the LV inflow TVI (time velocity integral) as measured by pulsed-wave Doppler of the mitral inflow at the level of the mitral valve tips from the apical four chamber view (18) (Fig. 1). Cardiac output at rest and with exercise was calculated as the product of stroke volume and heart rate and indexed to body surface area.

**Determination of PA pressures**

Right ventricular systolic pressure and therefore PA systolic pressure was estimated by Doppler echocardiography by calculating the systolic right ventricular to right atrial pressure gradient using the modified Bernoulli equation (4 times the square of the peak tricuspid regurgitant velocity) and adding the right atrial pressure, assumed to be 5 mmHg. The peak tricuspid regurgitant velocity was acquired from the view where the Doppler angle was most parallel to flow (incidence angle typically <20°) with the averaging of three consecutive values obtained in held expiration. None of the subjects had right ventricular outflow tract obstruction or pulmonic stenosis. Echo Doppler measurement of PA systolic pressure obtained in this manner has been shown to correlate well with invasive catheter-based measurements over a wide range of values (correlation coefficients ranging between 0.89 and 0.97) at our institution (19, 20) and others (3, 21).

**Determination of LV diastolic pressures and LA volume**

The ratio of early transmitral flow velocity (E) to early peak tissue velocity (e’) by spectral tissue Doppler of the medial mitral annulus was used as a Doppler-derived estimate of LV filling pressure (22, 23). Left atrial volume index was calculated by the area–length method from the apical four- and three chamber views, using the average of the two lengths and indexed to body surface area.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using JMP 8.0 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA). Continuous variables presented as mean±s.d. and 95% confidence intervals and tested between groups using analysis of variance, as appropriate. Categorical variables are presented as number and percentage, and comparisons were done using Pearson chi-square analysis. Variables that were significant in univariate analysis were selected in a stepwise forward-selection manner, with entry and retention set at a significance of 0.05. Upper normal values of right ventricular systolic pressure at rest and post exercise were determined from the upper 5% limits derived from semiparametric logistic regression of normative data factoring in age. For all analyses, a P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The correlation coefficient in a subgroup (n=50) blinded assessment of interobserver variability was 0.92 for TR velocity, 0.85 for E/e’, and 0.77 for CO.
Results

PA pressure at rest

The study group of 469 subjects had a mean age $\pm$ S.D. of 57 $\pm$ 12 years and 292 (62%) were female. Baseline demographics of the cohort are given in Table 1. Overall, the tricuspid regurgitant peak velocity averaged 2.4 $\pm$ 0.3 m/s at rest. This corresponded to a PA systolic pressure of 28 $\pm$ 5 mmHg.

With increasing age, the resting PA systolic pressure increased ($r=0.28$; $P<0.0001$; Fig. 2 and Table 2) in men ($r=0.20$; $P<0.001$) but to a greater degree in women ($r=0.32$; $P<0.0001$). After adjusting for age, no sex-associated difference in PA systolic pressure was found ($P=0.73$). Cardiac output at rest tended to decline with age ($r=0.12$; $P<0.01$; Table 2), suggesting that the association of increasing PA systolic pressure with advancing age was not mediated by increased transpulmonary flow. PA systolic pressure at rest did increase significantly with increasing systemic pulse pressure ($r=0.33$; $P<0.0001$), Fig. 3.

PA pressure with exercise

Exercise duration declined with increasing age ($r=0.47$; $P<0.0001$) as did peak heart rate ($r=0.59$; $P<0.0001$) and exercise cardiac output ($r=0.28$; $P<0.0001$) (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Baseline demographics (n=467).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>57 $\pm$ 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female)</td>
<td>292 (62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>27 $\pm$ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>124 $\pm$ 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>76 $\pm$ 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats per min)</td>
<td>75 $\pm$ 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>57 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smoker</td>
<td>132 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic hypertension</td>
<td>180 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of coronary artery disease</td>
<td>183 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>3 (0.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker</td>
<td>70 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta blocker</td>
<td>78 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blocker</td>
<td>24 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone</td>
<td>1 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication for stress echocardiogram</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical chest pain</td>
<td>236 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors for coronary artery disease</td>
<td>134 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal resting ECG</td>
<td>46 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk stratification before noncardiac surgery</td>
<td>38 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal coronary calcium scan</td>
<td>15 (3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immediately after symptom-limited treadmill exercise (mean exercise duration 8.9 $\pm$ 2.5 min; 122 $\pm$ 27% of predicted functional aerobic capacity), tricuspid regurgitant peak velocity increased to 2.8 $\pm$ 0.4 m/s, which corresponded to an estimated PA systolic pressure of 37.0 $\pm$ 9.5 mmHg with an average difference from rest to exercise of 8.7 $\pm$ 8.1 mmHg (Table 3). Smoking status was not associated with PA systolic pressure with exercise ($P=0.3$). Exercise PA systolic pressure was similar (mean and 95% C.I.) between those with left atrial volume indices of $\leq$22 cc/m$^2$ (37 (35, 38)), 23–28 cc/m$^2$ (37 (35, 38)), and 29–32 cc/m$^2$ (38 (36, 39)); $P=0.65$. There was no association between the exercise PA systolic pressure or the change in PA systolic pressure with exercise and the workload achieved, expressed as a percent of predicted functional aerobic capacity ($r=0.04$; $P=0.4$). The change in PA systolic pressure with exercise was similar between men and women ($P=0.3$). The use of an antihypertensive was associated with a mildly higher resting average PA systolic pressure (30 $\pm$ 5 mmHg vs 28 $\pm$ 5 mmHg at rest) and post exercise (39 $\pm$ 8 mmHg vs 36 $\pm$ 8 mmHg) (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). PA systolic pressures with exercise increased with advancing age (Fig. 2 and Table 2) driven mostly by differences in resting tricuspid regurgitant velocity/pressure (Table 4). With increasing age, exercise duration, peak exercise heart rates, and cardiac outputs all declined. The ratio of PA systolic pressure to cardiac output/index ratios declined with exercise although remained positively associated with increasing age ($r=0.28$; $P<0.0001$; Fig. 2 and Table 2) driven mostly by differences in resting tricuspid regurgitant velocity/pressure (Table 4). With increasing age, exercise duration, peak exercise heart rates, and cardiac outputs all declined. The ratio of PA systolic pressure to cardiac output/index ratios declined with exercise although remained positively associated.
with advancing age (Fig. 3). After adjusting for age and body mass index or resting tricuspid regurgitant velocity, systemic pulse pressure remained positively associated with exercise PA systolic pressure (Table 4, Figure 4). Smoking status was not associated with a difference in PA systolic pressure before (28 ± 5 vs 28 ± 5, P = 0.4) or after 38 ± 10 vs 37 ± 9, P = 0.25) exercise.

**Association of age with transpulmonary resistance**

The ratio of PA systolic pressure to cardiac output as a measure of transpulmonary resistance was 4.3 mmHg/L min⁻¹ and was similar between sexes and across body mass indices. However, there was an age-related increase (Table 2), lowest in subjects 18–40 years (3.8 ± 1.7) and highest in those over the age of 70 years (4.8 ± 1.3; P < 0.0001). Overall, the PA systolic pressure to cardiac output ratio decreased in all subjects with exercise, but remained higher in older subjects (Table 3).

**Diastolic function and PA pressure**

Of the study group, 156 (33%) subjects had evidence of mild diastolic dysfunction (delayed relaxation pattern) at rest but no evidence of elevated LV filling pressures. By protocol design (excluding patients with left atrial enlargement or elevated E/e' at rest or with exercise), no subjects had elevated LV filling pressures (i.e. moderate or severe diastolic dysfunction). Patients with mild diastolic dysfunction were older than those with normal LV diastolic function (62 ± 11 vs 54 ± 12 years, P < 0.0001). Compared with those with normal diastolic function, subjects with mild LV diastolic dysfunction had similar PA systolic pressures at rest (rest: 28.2 ± 5.5 mmHg vs 28.6 ± 4.5 mmHg, P = 0.4) and with exercise (36.9 ± 9.6 mmHg vs 37.4 ± 9.4 mmHg, P = 0.6).

**Discussion**

These data demonstrate that in echocardiographically normal subjects, PA systolic pressure increases with advancing age. This increase is seen at rest and with exercise. These increases occur in association with decreasing transpulmonary flow and increases in systemic pulse pressure, suggesting that age-associated blood vessel stiffening may contribute to these differences in PA systolic pressure.

Factors that determine PA pressure include intrinsic properties of the pulmonary vascular bed, transpulmonary...
flow (cardiac output), and left atrial pressure. By design, all subjects in this study had normal or low left atrial/LV filling pressures at rest as assessed by 2D and Doppler echocardiography. LV filling pressures with exercise were also assessed and subjects were also excluded if they had evidence of an increase in filling pressures with exercise. Moreover, subjects with mild diastolic dysfunction at rest were similar to those who had normal diastolic function with regard to resting and exercise PA systolic pressure. Elevated LV filling pressures are a potentially common cause of pulmonary hypertension in the elderly including a rise in PA pressures on exercise Doppler

Table 3  Clinical and echocardiographic exercise characteristics according to age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>&lt;40 (n = 38)</th>
<th>40-49 (n = 93)</th>
<th>50-59 (n = 127)</th>
<th>60-69 (n = 127)</th>
<th>&gt;70 (n = 84)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exercise duration (min*)</td>
<td>10.8 ± 2 (10.1, 11.5)</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2.4 (9.7, 10.7)</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.3 (8.9, 9.7)</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.3 (7.9, 8.7)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.9 (6.6, 7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of predicted functional aerobic capacity*</td>
<td>101 ± 11 (97, 105)</td>
<td>115 ± 23 (111, 120)</td>
<td>125 ± 26 (121, 130)</td>
<td>124 ± 26 (120, 129)</td>
<td>131 ± 34 (124, 139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak systolic blood pressure (mmHg*)</td>
<td>155 ± 23 (147, 163)</td>
<td>167 ± 26 (160, 171)</td>
<td>163 ± 21 (159, 167)</td>
<td>170 ± 23 (166, 174)</td>
<td>166 ± 26 (160, 172)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic pulse pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>39 ± 19 (33, 45)</td>
<td>44 ± 21 (39, 48)</td>
<td>40 ± 19 (37, 43)</td>
<td>37 ± 22 (33, 40)</td>
<td>33 ± 21 (28, 37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak heart rate (beats per min*)</td>
<td>175 ± 19 (169, 181)</td>
<td>167 ± 17 (164, 171)</td>
<td>157 ± 15 (154, 160)</td>
<td>147 ± 16 (144, 149)</td>
<td>136 ± 17 (132, 140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricuspid regurgitant peak velocity (m/s*)</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.3 (2.5, 2.7)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.4 (2.7, 2.8)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.4 (2.7, 2.8)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.4 (2.7, 2.9)</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.5 (2.9, 3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary artery systolic pressure (mmHg*, **)</td>
<td>33 ± 7 (31–35)</td>
<td>36 ± 8 (34–37)</td>
<td>36 ± 9 (34–38)</td>
<td>37 ± 10 (35–39)</td>
<td>41 ± 12 (38–43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta pulmonary artery systolic pressure from baseline (mmHg*)</td>
<td>50 ± 8 (5,10)</td>
<td>53 ± 10 (7, 10)</td>
<td>54 ± 10 (7, 10)</td>
<td>54 ± 10 (7, 10)</td>
<td>56 n (8, 12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output (L/min*)</td>
<td>16.6 ± 5.8 (14.4, 18.7)</td>
<td>17.4 ± 4.8 (16.2, 18.6)</td>
<td>14.7 ± 4.9 (16.2, 18.6)</td>
<td>14.6 ± 4.6 (13.7, 15.5)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 3.7 (11.9, 13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASP/cardiac output (mmHg/L min−1)</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.3 (1.8, 2.7)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.7 (2.0, 2.4)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.1 (2.5, 2.9)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.2 (2.6, 3.0)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.1 (3.1, 3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta PASP/cardiac output from baseline (mmHg/L min−1)</td>
<td>0.99 ± 1.6 (0.4, 1.6)</td>
<td>1.03 ± 1.1 (0.76, 1.31)</td>
<td>1.56 ± 2.1 (1.2, 2.0)</td>
<td>1.33 ± 1.5 (1.0, 1.6)</td>
<td>1.83 ± 2.2 (1.3, 2.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data presented as mean ± s.d. and (upper and lower 95% confidence intervals); **Upper normal defined as the 5% limit derived from semi-parametric logistic regression of normative data factoring in age.

Table 4  Association of clinical and echocardiographic variables with exercise pulmonary artery systolic pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th>Multivariate model 1</th>
<th>Multivariate model 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β coefficient (s.e.m.)</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>β coefficient (s.e.m.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age per 10 year</td>
<td>1.6 (0.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>−0.51 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR peak velocity at rest (m/s)</td>
<td>17.4 (1.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>18.1 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse pressure at rest (per 10 mmHg)</td>
<td>1.71 (0.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.68 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP at rest (per 10 mmHg)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of hypertension</td>
<td>3.93 (0.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current or prior smoker</td>
<td>1.13 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of exercise (min)</td>
<td>−0.70 (0.17)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac index with exercise (mL/min/m²)</td>
<td>−0.007 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP post exercise (per 10 mmHg)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.008)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.4 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse pressure post exercise (per 10 mmHg)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.009)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.4 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multivariate analysis with (model 1) and without (model 2) the inclusion of tricuspid regurgitant peak velocity at rest.
echocardiography (25, 26). Mahjoub and coworkers (25)
and Ha and coworkers (26) have also demonstrated higher
PA pressures post exercise in elderly subjects. However,
unlike in those studies, here in this cohort, abnormalities
of diastolic function on echo do not appear to underlie
the age-related differences in PA systolic pressure seen.
The differences being that in our study, abnormalities
of diastolic function were excluded by study design. A
modest decrease in cardiac output with advancing age
was seen at rest and with exercise. Therefore, the age-
dependent increase seen in PA systolic pressure does not
appear to be due to increased transpulmonary flow, thus
implicating intrinsic changes in the pulmonary arterial
circulation (27).

Our findings are supported by previous small cardiac
catheterization cohort series that have demonstrated a
trend toward increasing PA pressures both at rest and with
exercise with age (28, 29, 30). However, due to the study
designs, these studies did not have the distribution of age
ranges from young adult to elderly to adequately assess
the association of varying age and pulmonary pressure.
Resting PA pressures have also been found to correlate with
age in a patient cohorts evaluated by echocardiography.
Resting PA systolic pressures have been associated with
echo findings such as increased wall thickness and E/e’,
suggesting that at least part of this association may have
been related to LV diastolic dysfunction and/or other
cardiovascular diseases (not excluded or directly evaluated
in these series) (31, 32, 33). A recent community-based
echocardiography study also demonstrated a correlation
between increasing age and increasing resting PA
pressure (10). In that study, which included a
comprehensive assessment of LV diastolic function, these
age-related increases in PA pressures correlated with an
age-dependent elevation in LV filling pressures (10).
Furthermore, these findings also correlated with systemic
vascular stiffening (10). Our study demonstrates that in the
presence of normal LV filling pressures, the association of
age and increasing PA pressures both at rest and following
exercise persists, implicating intrinsic pulmonary arterial
changes with age; these findings are supported by a
correlation with increasing systemic vascular stiffening.

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of the current study include the large
cohort of subjects over a wide age distribution and the
comprehensive uniformly performed echocardiographic
assessment of rest and stress wall motion assessment
and Doppler evaluation of LV diastolic function and
pulmonary pressures. Although Doppler measurement
of PA pressure correlates well with simultaneous invasive
catheter measures, direct measurements remain the
gold standard and furthermore allow for measurement
rather than estimates of mean pulmonary pressure and
pulmonary vascular resistance as well as measures of PA
pulse pressure and pulmonary capacitance/stiffening
(8, 34). An invasive study would also have facilitated
more direct and simultaneous measurement of cardiac
output. However, this study could not have been
performed invasively. While the ratio of PA systolic
pressure to cardiac index is a noninvasive correlate of
cardiac output. However, this study could not have been
performed invasively. While the ratio of PA systolic
pressure to cardiac index is a noninvasive correlate of
cardiac output. However, this study could not have been
performed invasively. While the ratio of PA systolic
pressure to cardiac index is a noninvasive correlate of
cardiac output. However, this study could not have been
performed invasively. While the ratio of PA systolic
pressure to cardiac index is a noninvasive correlate of
pulmonary vascular resistance, other Doppler measures
of pulmonary artery hemodynamics such as pulmonary
vascular resistance (e.g. by the method of Abbas) or
capacitance would have been of interest in this cohort
(35, 36). Furthermore, there is an association of changes
in correlates of systemic vascular stiffness with echo-
derived measures of pulmonary vascular stiffness
beyond simply PA pressure elevation. Here, we used an
unorthodox site for cardiac output measurement which
allowed simultaneous measurement of cardiac output
and diastolic function, thereby improving acquisition
time though this is less accurate than cardiac output
measurements from the LV outflow tract and potentially
overestimated (18). However, the role of cardiac output
measurements in this study was to exclude higher
cardiac outputs in older rather than younger patients
and the accuracy of the data is likely sufficient for this
aim. Subjects were carefully selected to be considered as

Figure 4
Association between resting pulmonary artery systolic pressure and
systemic pulse pressure. There is a rise in pulmonary artery systolic
pressure at rest as systemic pulse pressure rises.

\[ r = 0.33, P<0.0001 \]
‘overtly healthy and disease free’; however, despite the rigorous clinical and echocardiographic based exclusion criteria, we cannot exclude that potential that the findings are confounded by undiagnosed subclinical disease. The method of exercise also deserves mention. The upright treadmill stress allows for a higher achieved workload than supine bike assessment but does not allow for Doppler assessment at peak exercise. The continuous-wave tricuspid regurgitant velocity signal was acquired early in the recovery period (approximately 2 min) after symptom-limited exercise. The timeline of changes in pulmonary pressures in the immediate postexercise period is not well known, and hence, it is possible that pulmonary pressures and cardiac output will change during the brief time interval from peak exercise to their measurement in early recovery. The most likely finding would be an early decline in these values leading to an underestimated reflection of true peak exercise measures. However, this protocol is easy to perform and can readily be applied to standard treadmill stress echocardiography currently performed for the evaluation of possible myocardial ischemia, without negating the diagnostic accuracy of the wall motion assessment, potentially serving as an easy screen at no additional cost. These data provide reference values in clinically normal subjects. While the inclusion criteria are the following: an absence of left atrial enlargement, an elevated E/e’ at rest or with exercise should exclude an elevated left atrial pressure, we cannot account for modest variation in left atrial pressures among subjects. Finally, right atrial pressure in normal subjects in the supine position approximates 5 mmHg; the uniform assignment of this value rather than individually estimated right atrial pressure based on 2D and Doppler data at rest could introduce a modest error, as right atrial pressure may increase or decrease modestly with exercise in normal subjects (37).

Conclusions

In this study, we delineate PA systolic pressures in adults overtly healthy and disease free at rest and with exercise over a wide range of ages. This work provides an insight into the ‘physiologic’ effects of the aging process on pulmonary hemodynamics and serves as a reference for clinical patients to assess the magnitude of superimposed disease-related effects. We present evidence of an age-associated increase in PA systolic pressure both at rest and with exercise, with data that suggest these age-related changes are independent of abnormalities in LV diastolic function, elevated of LV filling pressure or trans-pulmonary blood flow. Therefore, age-related increases in PA systolic pressure are likely related to intrinsic changes in the pulmonary arterial bed.
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